

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/731,219	12/09/2003	Ahmed Eldessouky	140/40713/979	4579	
279	7590 08/17/2004		EXAMINER		
TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI,			JONES, DAVID B		
BLACKSTO	NE & MARR, LTD.				
105 WEST A	DAMS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3600			3725		
CHICAGO,	IL 60603		DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\bigcirc$ $\Lambda$
	10/731,219	Eldessouky	Οı.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David B. Jones	3725	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress –
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a re by within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely  (HS from the mailing date of this  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		tters prosecution as to the	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)∏ d	isapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	· •		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	·		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	· ·	_ , , , ,	i application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pre</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	• •		
Attachment(s)	alo priority under 55 0.0.0.	33 120 and/or 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (P	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bell et al. Bell et al. teaches the claimed invention including a handle 24, piston rod 54, pistons 56, cavity 29 between the pistons, deflector 105, orifice 97, a bulkhead 74, a trigger 96, and a pulling head.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyd in view of Freeman. Bell et al. teaches the claimed invention except for pull head having a nose piece/sleeve/drawbar removable from the piston rod/handle mechanism. Freeman teaches the claimed arrangement with a threaded joint between piston rod 29 and drawbar 60 and a threaded connection between nose fitting 68 and the sleeve 67.

Freeman teaches the rest of the claimed elements set forth in the claims at 61/62/63. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the riveter of Bell et al. the threaded pull head arrangement of Freeman

Application/Control Number: 10/731,219

Art Unit: 3725

so at to provide ease of changing the pull head from the handle for maintenance and/or

Page 3

replacement.

3. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Bell et al. in view of Boyd. Bell et al. teaches the claimed invention excepting a

removable front cap with bumper member. Boyd teaches the claimed removable front

cap with the inherent bumper member at 9. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided a removable front

cap as shown by Boyd so as to aid in the maintenance and repair of the riveter.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to David B. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1887.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

In the event that the Applicant (s) wishes to communicate via Fax number for

Group 3700 is (703) 872-9306.

wahp

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

**ART UNIT 3725**